Nicholas J. Bontrager (SBN 252114)
 Krohn & Moss, Ltd.
 10474 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 401
 Los Angeles, CA 90025
 Tel: 323-988-2400
 Fax: 866-583-3695

AUG 1 0 2009

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
BY

DEPUTY

nbontrager@consumerlawcenter.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs TODD TORRES AND AMBER TORRES

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TODD TORRES AND
AMBER TORRES

Plaintiff,

vs.

(Unlawful Debt Collection Practices)

Defendant.

VERIFIED COMPLAINT

TODD TORRES AND AMBER TORRES (Plaintiffs), by their attorneys, KROHN & MOSS, LTD., allege the following against TATE & KIRLIN ASSOCIATES, (Defendant):

INTRODUCTION

- 1. Count I of Plaintiffs' Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692 et seq. (FDCPA).
- 2. Count II of the Plaintiffs' Complaint is based on Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, Cal. Civ. Code §1788 et seq. (RFDCPA).

- 1 -

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1692k(d), which states that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. 1367 grants this court supplemental jurisdiction over the state claims contained therein.
- 4. Defendant conducts business in the state of California, and therefore, personal jurisdiction is established.
- 5. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1391(b)(2).
- 6. Declaratory relief is available pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 2201 and 2202.

PARTIES

- 7. Plaintiffs are natural persons residing in Escondido, San Diego County, California.
- 8. Plaintiffs are consumers as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(3), and according to Defendant, Plaintiffs allegedly owes a debt as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(5) and Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.2(h).
- 9. Defendant is a debt collector as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(6) and Cal. Civ. Code §1788.2(c), and sought to collect a consumer debt from Plaintiffs.
- 10. Defendant is a national company with its headquarters in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- 11. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 12. Defendant is placing constant and continuous collection calls to Plaintiffs in an attempt to collect upon an alleged debt.
- 13. Defendant places collection calls from the telephone number 866-923-5194.
- 14. Defendant places multiple collection calls to Plaintiffs in a single day.

- 15. Defendant placed at least six (4) collection calls to Plaintiff on July 10, 2009. (See Exhibit A).
- 16. Defendant placed at least four (4) collection calls to Plaintiff on July 13, 2009. (See Exhibit A).
- 17. Defendant places collection calls to Plaintiffs and hang up the phone before Plaintiffs or the answering machine can answer the phone.

COUNT I DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 18. Defendant violated the FDCPA based on the following:
 - a. Defendant violated $\S 1692(d)$ of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, and abuse Plaintiffs.
 - b. Defendant violated §1692d(5) of the FDCPA by causing a telephone to ring repeatedly and continuously with the intent to annoy, abuse, and harass Plaintiffs.
 - c. Defendant violated \$1692d(6)\$ of the FDCPA by placing telephone calls to Plaintiffs and failing to provide meaningful disclosure by hanging up the line before Plaintiffs can answer the phone.
 - d. Defendant violated $\S1692e(10)$ of the FDCPA by engaging in the deceptive practice of placing continuous collection calls to Plaintiff and hanging up the line before Plaintiffs can answer the phone.
 - e. Defendant violated §1692e(11) of the FDCPA by failing to inform Plaintiffs in each subsequent communication that the Defendant is a debt collector by placing telephone calls to Plaintiff and hanging up the line before Plaintiffs can answer.
- 19. As a direct and proximate result of one or more or all of the statutory violations above Plaintiffs have suffered emotional distress (see Exhibit "B").

22

24 25

23

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, TODD TORRES AND AMBER TORRES, respectfully request judgment be entered against Defendant, TATE & KIRLIN ASSOCIATES, for the following:

- 20. Declaratory judgment that Defendant's conduct violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act,
- 21. Statutory damages pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692k,
- 22. Actual damages,
- 23. Costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692k
- 24. Any other relief that this Honorable Court deems appropriate.

COUNT II DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE ROSENTHAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION **PRACTICES ACT**

- 25. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege all of the allegations in Count I of Plaintiffs' Complaint as the allegations in Count II of Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 26. Defendant violated the RFDCPA based on the following:
 - a. Defendant violated §1788.11(b) of the RFDCPA by placing telephone calls to Plaintiffs and failing to provide meaningful disclosure by hanging up the line before Plaintiffs can answer the phone.
 - b. Defendant violated $\S1788.11(d)$ of the RFDCPA by causing Plaintiffs telephone to ring repeatedly and continuously so as to annoy Plaintiffs.
 - c. Defendant violated §1788.11(e) of the RFDCPA by placing collection calls to Plaintiffs with such frequency that was unreasonable and constituted harassment.
 - d. Defendant violated the §1788.17 of the RFDCPA by continuously failing to comply with the statutory regulations contained within the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. & 1692 et seg.

Case 3:09-cv-01785-L-RBB Document 1 Filed 08/10/09 Page 5 of 13				
27. As a direct and proximate result of one or more or all of the statutory violations above				
Plaintiffs have suffered emotional distress (see Exhibit "B").				
WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, TODD TORRES AND AMBER TORRES, respectfully				
request judgment be entered against Defendant, TATE & KIRLIN ASSOCIATES, for the				
following:				
28. Declaratory judgment that Defendant's conduct violated the Rosenthal Fair Debt				
Collection Practices Act,				
29. Statutory damages pursuant to the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, Cal.				
Civ. Code §1788.30(b),				
30. Actual damages,				
31. Costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection				
Practices Act, Cal. Civ Code § 1788.30(c), and				
32. Any other relief that this Honorable Court deems appropriate.				
DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL				
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiffs, TODD TORRES AND AMBER TORRES,				
demands a jury trial in this case.				
RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,				
DATED: August 6, 2009 KROLD & MOSS, LTD.				

Nicholas J. Bontrager Attorney for Plaintiff

VERIFICATION OF COMPLAINT AND CERTIFICATION

2

3

4

1

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)

Plaintiffs, TODD TORRES and AMBER TORRES, say as follows:

5

We are the Plaintiffs in this civil proceeding. 1.

6 7

3.

9

8

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

We have read the above-entitled civil Complaint prepared by our attorneys and we 2. believe that all of the facts contained in it are true, to the best of our knowledge, information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry.

We believe that this civil Complaint is well grounded in fact and warranted by existing law or by a good faith argument for the extension, modification or reversal of existing law.

We believe that this civil Complaint is not interposed for any improper purpose, such 4. as to harass any Defendant(s), cause unnecessary delay to any Defendant(s), or create a needless increase in the cost of litigation to any Defendant(s), named in the Complaint.

We have filed this Complaint in good faith and solely for the purposes set forth in it. 5.

Each and every exhibit we have provided to our attorneys which has been attached to 6. this Complaint is a true and correct copy of the original.

Except for clearly indicated redactions made by our attorneys where appropriate, we 7. have not altered, changed, modified or fabricated these exhibits, except that some of the attached exhibits may contain some of our own handwritten notations.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746(2), we, TODD TORRES and AMBER TORRES, hereby declare (or certify, verify or state) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated:

TODD TORRES.

Plaintiff

AMBER TORRES.

Plaintiff

Case 3:09-cv-0	01735-L-RBR	Document 1	Filed 08/10/09	Page 7 of 13
Od36 3.03-67-0	J F UU-L- \	DUCUITIEIL		I auc I ul la

EXHIBIT A

Tate & Kirlin call log

866-923-5194

July 13, 2009: 8:59am July 13, 2009: 12:00pm July 13, 2009: 2:47pm July 13, 2009: 4:46pm

July 11, 2009: 8:59am

July 12, 2009: 10:43am

July 10, 2009: 9:01am July 10, 2009: 9:58am July 10, 2009: 12:34pm July 10, 2009: 7:54pm

EXHIBIT B

I have suffered from the following due to, or made worse by, the actions of the Defendant's debt collection activities:

1. Sleeplessness 2. Fear of answering the telephone 3. Nervousness 4. Fear of answering the door 5. Embarrassment when speaking with 6. Depressions (sad, anxious, or "empt 7. Chest pains 8. Feelings of hopelessness, pessimism 9. Feelings of guilt, worthlessness, hel 10. Appetite and/or weight loss or overe 11. Thoughts of death, suicide or suicid 12. Restlessness or irritability 13. Headache, nausea, chronic pain or 14. Negative impact on my job 15. Negative impact on my relationship Other physical or emotional symptoms you collection activities: The States of States o	ty" moods) plessness eating and weight gain le attempts fatigue believe are associated w	YES NO
Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746 under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is t Dated: 07/24/09	(2), I hereby declare (or rue and correct. Signed Name AMBER Printed Name	certify, verify or state)

I have suffered from the following due to, or made worse by, the actions of the Defendant's debt collection activities:

1.	Sleeplessness		(YES) NO
2.	Fear of answering the telephone		YES NO
3.	Nervousness		NO SEE
4.	Fear of answering the door		NO NO
5.	Embarrassment when speaking with	family or friends	YES NO
6.	Depressions (sad, anxious, or "empty	y" moods)	VES NO
7.	Chest pains	,	YES (NO)
8.	Feelings of hopelessness, pessimism		YES NO
	Feelings of guilt, worthlessness, help	plessness	YES NO
	Appetite and/or weight loss or overed		(YES NO
11	Thoughts of death, suicide or suicide	e attempts	YES (NO)
12	Restlessness or irritability		(YES) NO
	. Headache, nausea, chronic pain or f	atigue	YES NO
	Negative impact on my job		VES NO
	Negative impact on my relationships	S	(YES)NO
collecti	physical or emotional symptoms you on activities:	believe are associated with ab	ısive debt
under p	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746(enalty of perjury that the foregoing is to	(2), I hereby declare (or certify rue and correct	, verify or state)
Dated:	07/2609	Signed Name	·
		TOPO N. TOPE Printed Name	R63

SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS		
TODD TORRES AND AMBER TORRES				TATE & KIRLIN	ASSOCIATES	
·	e of First Listed Plaintiff EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C			County of Residence	of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES	Philadel hia (PA)
'(19 CV 1735		RBB		d condemnation cases, u involved. AUG	SE THE LOCATION OF THE 10 2009
	ne, Address, and Telephone Numl			Attorneys (If Known)	CLEDKING	DISTRICT COURT
Krohn & Moss, Ltd. Nic 10474 Santa Monica B	lvd., Suite 401 Los A	ngeles, CA 9002			SOUTHERN DIST BY	RICT OF CALIFORNIA DEPUTY
II. BASIS OF JURIS		in One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P (For Diversity Cases Only)	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)
U.S. Government Plaintiff	▼ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)		P	TF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Proof Business In Thi	PTF DEF
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	Diversity (Indicate Citizensh)	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2	
	·	,	1	en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUI						
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	RTS PERSONAL INJUR		ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	362 Personal Injury Med. Malpractic 365 Personal Injury	. □ 62 e □ 62	0 Agriculture 0 Other Food & Drug 5 Drug Related Seizure	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	Product Liability	⁷ □ 63	of Property 21 USC 881 0 Liquor Laws	PROPERTY RIGHTS	☐ 450 Commerce☐ 460 Deportation
& Enforcement of Judgmen 151 Medicare Act	t Slander ☐ 330 Federal Employers'	368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product		0 R.R. & Truck 0 Airline Regs.	820 Copyrights 830 Patent	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability	Liability	□ 66	0 Occupational	☐ 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit
(Excl. Veterans)	345 Marine Product	PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud		Safety/Health 0 Other		☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 810 Selective Service
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal		LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/
160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle	Property Damage		0 Fair Labor Standards Act	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	Exchange 875 Customer Challenge
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability	☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability		0 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 0 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	12 USC 3410
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	Troduct Elability		& Disclosure Act	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting	PRISONER PETITION ☐ 510 Motions to Vacat		0 Railway Labor Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	☐ 892 Economic Stabilization Act
☐ 220 Foreclosure	442 Employment	Sentence	_	0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Empl. Ret. Inc.	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment☐ 240 Torts to Land☐	443 Housing/	Habeas Corpus:		Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	☐ 895 Freedom of Information
245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations 444 Welfare	☐ 530 General ☐ 535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION	26 USC 7609	Act ☐ 900Appeal of Fee Determination
290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 540 Mandamus & Oth		Naturalization Application		Under Equal Access
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	□ 463	3 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee		to Justice 950 Constitutionality of
	Other		□ 465	5 Other Immigration		State Statutes
	440 Other Civil Rights			Actions		į
☑ 1 Original ☐ 2 R	an "X" in One Box Only) emoved from 3 tate Court	Remanded from Appellate Court	I 4 Reins Reop	ened anothe	erred from	Magistrate
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta 15 USC 1692 6	itute under which you ar	re filing (l	Oo not cite jurisdictiona	statutes unless diversity):	Judgment
vii enega or heri	I Drief describition of ca	iuse: Dusive debt collec	ction pra	actices		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION		EMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CAS	(See instructions):	JUDGE //	10		DOCKET NUMBER	20 163 25 110
DATE		SIGNATURE	NEY C	PECCERD		
08/06/2009	The state of the s	NI	<u> </u>			
RECEIPT # 4069 A	MOUNT 350.	APPLYING IFP	0	HHM:F	MAG. JUD)GE
8	10/09 M					

Court Name: USDC California Southern

Division: 3

Receipt Number: CASO04069 Cashier ID: sramirez

Transaction Date: 08/10/2009 Payer Name: KROHN MOSS LTD

CIVIL FILING FEE

For: TORRES V. TATE AND KIRLIN
Case/Party: D-CAS-3-09-CV-001735-001

Amount: \$350.00

CHECK

Check/Money Order Num: 17266

Amt Tendered: \$350.00

Total Due: \$350.00 Total Tendered: \$350.00 Change Amt: \$0.00

There will be a fee of \$45.00 charged for any returned check.